

DEMO CANDIDATE

Online polygraph

demo@riskradars.org

Date: 8/2/2026, 3:00:00 PM

Session: sample-en

Link: public-demo

Employer: demo@riskradars.org

Risk level

0/100



Candidate photo will
appear here

POTENTIAL RISKS

No elevated closed-answer signal
was identified in this area.

PROPERTY THEFT

No elevated closed-answer signal
was identified in this area.

FINANCIAL MISCONDUCT

No elevated closed-answer signal
was identified in this area.

INFORMATION SECURITY

No elevated closed-answer signal
was identified in this area.

EFFECTIVENESS

No elevated closed-answer signal
was identified in this area.

Conclusion

1. No elevated closed-answer signal was identified in this area.
2. Candidate context: I informed my manager immediately, documented the event, corrected the access, and updated the checklist so the same issue could not recur.
3. The explanation includes enough detail to guide a focused follow-up interview.

LOW · RISK LEVEL

RECOMMENDED

Key points

Potential risks — risk: Low

- No elevated closed-answer signal was identified in this area.
- Candidate context: I informed my manager immediately, documented the event, corrected the access, and updated the checklist so the same issue could not recur.
- The explanation includes enough detail to guide a focused follow-up interview.

Property theft — risk: Low

- No elevated closed-answer signal was identified in this area.

Financial misconduct — risk: Low

- No elevated closed-answer signal was identified in this area.
- Candidate context: I informed my manager immediately, documented the event, corrected the access, and updated the checklist so the same issue could not recur.
- The explanation includes enough detail to guide a focused follow-up interview.

Information security — risk: Low

- No elevated closed-answer signal was identified in this area.
- Candidate context: I informed my manager immediately, documented the event, corrected the access, and updated the checklist so the same issue could not recur.
- The explanation includes enough detail to guide a focused follow-up interview.

Effectiveness — risk: Low

- No elevated closed-answer signal was identified in this area.
- Candidate context: I informed my manager immediately, documented the event, corrected the access, and updated the checklist so the same issue could not recur.
- The explanation includes enough detail to guide a focused follow-up interview.

Key assessment questions

No	Question	Answer	Behavior assessment
1	Have you knowingly bypassed a workplace rule to finish a task faster?	no	Normal
2	Would you report a serious mistake even if it could affect your reputation?	yes	Needs attention
3	Have you ever taken company property for personal use without permission?	no	Normal
4	Have you submitted an expense that was not fully work-related?	no	Normal
5	Would you disclose a personal conflict of interest before making a business decision?	yes	Needs attention
6	Have you sent confidential work information to a personal account or device?	no	Normal
7	Have you shared a work password with another person?	no	Normal
8	Under financial pressure, would you ask for help before considering an improper shortcut?	yes	Needs attention
9	Has alcohol or another substance ever affected your work performance?	no	Normal
10	Have you hidden important information from a manager to avoid criticism?	no	Normal
11	Have you accepted a gift that could influence a work decision?	no	Normal
12	Would you immediately report accidental access to information you were not authorized to see?	yes	Needs attention

Pre-test interview analysis

Potential risks

According to the candidate:

- Under financial pressure, would you ask for help before considering an improper shortcut? — yes
- Describe a period of strong pressure, the support you sought, and how you kept decisions within policy. — I informed my manager immediately, documented the event, corrected the access, and updated the checklist so the same issue could not recur.
- Has alcohol or another substance ever affected your work performance? — no

Conclusion:

- No elevated closed-answer signal was identified in this area.
- Candidate context: I informed my manager immediately, documented the event, corrected the access, and updated the checklist so the same issue could not recur.
- The explanation includes enough detail to guide a focused follow-up interview.

Property theft

According to the candidate:

- Have you ever taken company property for personal use without permission? — no

Conclusion:

- No elevated closed-answer signal was identified in this area.

Financial misconduct

According to the candidate:

- Have you submitted an expense that was not fully work-related? — no
- Would you disclose a personal conflict of interest before making a business decision? — yes
- Describe a real or plausible conflict, whom you would notify, and which decision you would avoid. — I informed my manager immediately, documented the event, corrected the access, and updated the checklist so the same issue could not recur.
- Have you accepted a gift that could influence a work decision? — no

Conclusion:

- No elevated closed-answer signal was identified in this area.
- Candidate context: I informed my manager immediately, documented the event, corrected the access, and updated the checklist so the same issue could not recur.
- The explanation includes enough detail to guide a focused follow-up interview.

Information security

According to the candidate:

- Have you sent confidential work information to a personal account or device? — no
- Have you shared a work password with another person? — no
- Would you immediately report accidental access to information you were not authorized to see? — yes
- List the first actions you would take, whom you would notify, and what evidence you would preserve. — I informed my manager immediately, documented the event, corrected the access, and updated the checklist so the same issue could not recur.

Conclusion:

- No elevated closed-answer signal was identified in this area.
- Candidate context: I informed my manager immediately, documented the event, corrected the access, and updated the checklist so the same issue could not recur.
- The explanation includes enough detail to guide a focused follow-up interview.

Effectiveness

According to the candidate:

- Have you knowingly bypassed a workplace rule to finish a task faster? — no
 - Would you report a serious mistake even if it could affect your reputation? — yes
- Give an example of a mistake you reported: when it happened, whom you told, and what changed. — I informed my manager immediately, documented the event, corrected the access, and updated the checklist so the same issue could not recur.
- Have you hidden important information from a manager to avoid criticism? — no

Conclusion:

- No elevated closed-answer signal was identified in this area.
- Candidate context: I informed my manager immediately, documented the event, corrected the access, and updated the checklist so the same issue could not recur.
- The explanation includes enough detail to guide a focused follow-up interview.

Sincerity level



For the interview

Recommendations

The final decision is made by the Customer based on the full context and additional checks.